

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

RANGEL VANGAS,
Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA and
THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY,**
Respondents.

NO. 19-6112

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 2020, upon consideration of Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by *pro se* petitioner, Rangel Vangas, the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Timothy R. Rice dated September 23, 2020, and the record in this case, no objections having been filed notwithstanding the passage of time for doing so, **IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Timothy R. Rice dated September 23, 2020, is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by *pro se* petitioner, Rangel Vangas, is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation; and,
3. The Clerk of Court shall **MARK** this case **CLOSED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability will not issue because reasonable jurists would not debate (a) this Court's decision that the petition does not state a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, or (b) the propriety of this Court's procedural

rulings with respect to petitioner's claims. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Hon. Jan E. DuBois

DuBOIS, JAN E., J.